A mechanism of growth inhibition by abscisic acid in germinating seeds of Arabidopsis thaliana based on inhibition of plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase and decreased cytosolic pH, K+, and anions
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Abstract
The stress hormone abscisic acid (ABA) induces expression of defence genes in many organs, modulates ion homeostasis and metabolism in guard cells, and inhibits germination and seedling growth. Concerning the latter effect, several mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana with improved capability for H⁺ efflux (wat1-1D, overexpression of AKT1 and ost2-1D) are less sensitive to inhibition by ABA than the wild type. This suggested that ABA could inhibit H⁺ efflux (H⁺-ATPase) and induce cytosolic acidification as a mechanism of growth inhibition. Measurements to test this hypothesis could not be done in germinating seeds and we used roots as the most convenient system. ABA inhibited the root plasma-membrane H⁺-ATPase measured in vitro (ATP hydrolysis by isolated vesicles) and in vivo (H⁺ efflux from seedling roots). This inhibition involved the core ABA signalling elements: PYR/PYL/RCAR ABA receptors, ABA-inhibited protein phosphatases (HAB1), and ABA-activated protein kinases (SnRK2.2 and SnRK2.3). Electrophysiological measurements in root epidermal cells indicated that ABA, acting through the PYR/PYL/RCAR receptors, induced membrane hyperpolarization (due to K⁺ efflux through the GORK channel) and cytosolic acidification. This acidification was not observed in the wat1-1D mutant. The mechanism of inhibition of the H⁺-ATPase by ABA and its effects on cytosolic pH and membrane potential in roots were different from those in guard cells. ABA did not affect the in vivo phosphorylation level of the known activating site (penultimate threonine) of H⁺-ATPase in roots, and SnRK2.2 phosphorylated in vitro the C-terminal regulatory domain of H⁺-ATPase while the guard-cell kinase SnRK2.6/OST1 did not.
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Introduction

The hormone abscisic acid (ABA) plays a critical role in plant stress responses by transcriptional induction of defence genes in different organs. During the closing of stomata, however, ABA has a non-transcriptional mechanism modulating ion homeostasis and resulting in inhibition of plasma membrane (PM) H^+-ATPase, membrane depolarization, cytosolic alkalinisation, and efflux of K^+ and anions (Fujii et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2010; Joshi-Saha et al., 2011). Recent results in Arabidopsis thaliana (Arabidopsis) indicate that the same core signal transduction pathway operates in both cases, with ABA bound to PYR/PYL/RCAR (PYRABACTIN RESISTANCE1 / PYR1-LIKE/ REGULATORY COMPONENTS OF ABA RECEPTORS; hereafter referred to as PYR/PYL) receptors inhibiting clade A of PP2C protein phosphatases (ABI1, ABI2, HAB1, and PP2CA) and resulting in activation of a subgroup of SnRK2 protein kinases (2.2, 2.3 and guard-cell OST1/2.6). In the transcriptional mechanism, these kinases phosphorylate and activate a subgroup of bZIP transcription factors including ABI5 (Lopez-Molina et al., 2002) and ABFs/AREBs (Kang et al., 2002; Yoshida et al., 2010) that recognize the ABRE promoter element (consensus PyACGTGG/TC) in ABA-responsive genes (Fujii et al., 2009; Joshi-Saha et al., 2011). In the ion homeostasis mechanism of guard cells, the kinases phosphorylate and regulate ion transporters such as suggested for the K^+ uptake channel KAT1 (inhibited; Sato et al., 2009), the K^+ efflux channel GORK (activated; Ache et al., 2000; Hosy et al., 2003), and the anion efflux channel SLAC1 (activated; Geiger et al., 2009). The PM H^+-ATPase of guard cells is inhibited by ABA through a pathway involving the kinase OST1/SnRK2.6 (Merlot et al., 2007). This results in dephosphorylation of the activating site of the PM H^+-ATPase (penultimate threonine-947; Yin et al., 2013) by an unknown mechanism.

In addition to induction of stress defence genes and closing of stomata, one important function of ABA is to inhibit germination and early seedling growth. A transcriptional mechanism dependent on the seed-specific transcription factor ABI5 (Lopez-Molina et al., 2002; Piskurewicz et al., 2008) and resulting in inhibition of the cell cycle (Wang et al., 1998) and metabolism (Penfield et al., 2006) has been proposed. In addition, the activities of the PM H^+-ATPase (Haruta and Sussman, 2012) and of nutrient transporters (especially K^+ channels) coupled to the electrochemical proton gradient are important for growth (Sano et al., 2007) and could be modulated by ABA. Therefore, a mechanism of growth inhibition based on altered ion homeostasis could also be operative.

Several mutants of Arabidopsis with improved capability for H^+ efflux in roots are more tolerant to inhibition of growth by weak organic acids during germination and early seedling growth. These include wort-1-ID and overexpression (OE) of AKT1 (Niñoles et al., 2013) and ost2-1D (Merlot et al., 2007). These acids induce cytosolic acidification (Niñoles et al., 2013) and our work started with the observation that acid-tolerant mutants are less sensitive to inhibition of germination and seedling establishment by ABA than the wild type. We formulated the hypothesis that one mechanism of inhibition of growth by ABA at this early stage is the impairment of pH homeostasis (cytotoxic acidification) through inhibition of PM H^+-ATPase. As the experiments to test this hypothesis could not be done in germinating seeds, we decided to use roots as the most convenient system because in previous works biochemical and electrophysiological measurements in roots correlated with growth phenotypes in germinating seeds (Bissoli et al., 2012; Niñoles et al., 2013).

The effects of ABA on ion homeostasis of roots have not been investigated in detail (Pilot et al., 2003; Hedrich, 2012). There are indications that differences exist between guard and root cells (Roberts and Snowman, 2000), but no measurements of cytosolic pH and ion concentrations have been made in the latter. On the other hand, the effect of ABA on the root PM H^+-ATPase is controversial. In tomato roots, salt stress decreases the activity of the H^+-ATPase (Gronwald et al., 1990), while in cucumber roots, salt stress increases both the activity of the H^+-ATPase (Gronwald et al., 1990) and induced cytosolic acidification in root epidermal cells. These results support a mechanism of growth inhibition by ABA in germinating seeds of Arabidopsis based on inhibition of PM H^+-ATPase and cytosolic acidification. This ion homeostasis mechanism could operate upstream of the transcriptional mechanism dependent on ABI5.

Materials and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Arabidopsis seeds were stratified for 3 d at 4 °C and germinated and grown on Murashige and Skoog (MS) plates with 1% agar, 1% sucrose and 10mM 2-[N-morpholino]-ethanesulfonic acid (MES) adjusted to pH 5.7 with Tris base. The plates were sealed and incubated in a growth chamber at 22 °C under 16 h light (80–100 µE m^2 sec^-1) and 8 h dark. For propagation under greenhouse conditions, pots contained a 1:2 vermiculite:soil mixture and were irrigated with a modified Hoagland mineral nutrient solution (Naranjo et al., 2003). For root production, a hydropnic culture system was utilized (Araponics, Liège, Belgium) with the same nutrient solution described above and under short-day conditions (8 h light/16 h dark).

The following genotypes of Arabidopsis were utilized: wild-type ecotype Columbia-0 and derived mutants wort-1-D and OE AKTI (overexpression of AKT1; Niñoles et al., 2013), pyr1 pyr2 pyl2 pyl4 pyl5 pyl8 (112458 pyr/pyr; Gonzalez-Guzmán et al., 2012), OE HABI (overexpression of HABI; Saez et al., 2004), snrk2.2 snrk2.3 (Fuji et al., 2007), and slah-1 (Outermuth et al., 2013); wild-type ecotype Landsberg erecta and derived mutant ost2-1D (Merlot et al., 2007); and wild-type ecotype Wassilevskija (WS 0) and derived gork1-1 mutant (Hosy et al., 2005).

Purification of PM vesicles from roots and determination of PM H^+-ATPase activity

Arabidopsis plants were grown hydroponically for 2 months and 0.2–0.3g of roots per plant was obtained. Purification of PM vesicles
was done with about 2 g of roots. The method described by Serrano (1988) for oat roots was followed as modified by Niñoles et al. (2013). Briefly, homogenization was effected with a mortar and pestle after freezing with liquid nitrogen, and purification was carried out by sucrose gradient centrifugation with two layers of sucrose gradient of 41 and 33% sucrose (w/w). The final yield was 0.2–0.3 mg of protein. PM \( \text{H}^+\text{--ATPase} \) activity was determined at pH 6.5 as the ATP hydrolysis activity sensitive to 50 μM diethylstilbestrol (Serrano, 1988; Niñoles et al., 2013) and represented more than 80% of the ATP hydrolytic activity in the preparations. Protein concentration was determined by the method of Bradford (1976).

**Determination of proton efflux from roots**

Two external acidification assays were used as described by Bissoli et al. (2012). Briefly, plants were grown on vertical plates with normal MS medium for 14 d. For the solid medium assay, plants were transferred to MS vertical plates without buffer and with 0.003% bromoresol purple pH indicator and incubated in the light for 6–8 h. For the liquid medium assay, plants were transferred to a plate with sterile water and incubated for 24 h in the dark. The starved plants were incubated in a vessel with MS medium without buffer (only the roots were submerged), and proton efflux was started by addition of sucrose (1% final, 30 min). Acidification was recorded with a sensitive pH meter (Crison GLP22 with pH electrode 52.08; Alella, Barcelona, Spain).

**Electrophysiological measurements of membrane potential, cytosolic \( \text{pH}, \text{K}^+ \), and \( \text{Cl}^- \), and external \( \text{K}^+ \) and \( \text{Cl}^- \) in young roots**

Membrane potential and cytosolic pH were measured using double-barrelled microelectrodes with one of their bars containing a \( \text{H}^+ \)-specific sensor (ETH1907) as described previously (Fernández et al., 1999; Bissoli et al., 2012; Niñoles et al., 2013). Plantlets of 2 weeks old with roots of about 0.5 cm were used. \( \text{H}^+ \)-selective microelectrodes were calibrated before and after the measurement using buffer solutions containing 96 mM KCl and 2.5 mM MES/Bis/Tris propane (pH 5.3, 6.3, 7.3, and 8.3). The calibration curves showed slopes around 45 mV per pH unit.

To measure cytosolic \( \text{K}^+ \), double-barrelled microelectrodes were pulled and sylanized as pH microelectrodes but backfilled with \( \text{K}^+ \) ionophore I sensor (Fluka) as described previously (Mithöfer et al., 2005; Barragan et al., 2012). \( \text{K}^+ \)-selective microelectrodes were calibrated with different KCl solutions, from 1 to 100 mM KCl, showing slopes of approximately 45 mV per pK+.

Seedlings were grown vertically in MS medium for 10–15 d, the roots mounted in a perfusion chamber, and the microelectrodes inserted into epidermal cells. Glass for chloride microelectrodes was pulled and sylanized with a sensitive pH meter (Crison GLP22 with pH electrode 52.08; Alella, Barcelona, Spain).

**Western blot analysis of the PM \( \text{H}^+\text{--ATPase} \)**

Quantification of the PM \( \text{H}^+\text{--ATPase} \) by western blotting was made with a rabbit antibody raised against the C-terminal domain of the AHA3 isoform of Arabidopsis (Parets-Soler et al., 1990). This domain (last 98 aa) is highly conserved (more than 90% identity) between the three major isoforms (AHA1, -2, and -3; Harper et al., 1990) and therefore it is unlikely that the antibody could differentiate between them.

The antibody against the phosphorylated peptide of the known activating site of AHA2 \( \text{H}^+\text{--ATPase} \) (last 9 aa, penultimate Thr947 phosphorylated) was obtained from Toshinori Kinoshita (Nagoya University, Japan) and has been described elsewhere (Hayashi et al., 2010, 2011). This peptide is fully conserved between major isoforms in the last 4 aa (HYpTV) and the antibody cross-reacts with all major phosphorylated isoforms (Hayashi et al., 2011).

Detection of the labelled blots was done using an Amersham ECL system (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Buckinghamshire, UK) and quantification was done with the Java-based image-processing program ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/). Blots were first decorated with the antibody against the phosphorylated peptide described above, stripped by incubation for 1 h in 0.2M glycine/HCl (pH 2.7), decorated with antibody against the \( \text{H}^+\text{--ATPase} \) described above, and finally stained for total protein with Direct Blue 71.

**Production of the recombinant C-terminal domain of AHA2 \( \text{H}^+\text{--ATPase} \) and of OST1/SnRK2.6 in bacteria**

A cDNA of AHA2 \( \text{H}^+\text{--ATPase} \) (Pardo and Serrano, 1989) was amplified with primers AHA2Ct-F and AHA2Ct-R (Supplementary Table S1 at JXB online) resulting in a fragment of 323 bp encoding the last 106 aa of the protein, from R842 to V948. It was cloned into the pMAL-c2 expression vector (New England Biolabs, MA, USA) behind and in frame with maltose-binding protein (MBP). This construct was utilized as a template to generate point mutations by overlap-extension PCR (Patel et al. 2009). The primers for the mutations S899P, S904L, S931F, and T924A are shown in Supplementary Table S1. As indicated, all were designed to introduce a new restriction site. To obtain the double mutant S931F T924A, the plasmid with the S931F mutation was used as template to introduce the second mutation. All mutations were verified by sequencing. The recombinant fusion proteins (MBP–CtAHA2) were purified by amyllose affinity chromatography following the instructions of the vector manufacturer.

The purification of recombinant His-OST1/SnRK2.6 was as described by Fujii et al. (2009).

**Generation of haemagglutinin (HA)-tagged SnRK2.2 transgenic Arabidopsis lines**

The coding sequence of SnRK2s.2 (Fujii et al., 2007) was amplified by PCR using the primer pair F3gs05000 and R3gs05000 (Supplementary Table S1). The PCR products were cloned into pCR8/GW/TOPO (Invitrogen, CA, USA) following the instructions of the vector manufacturer. After sequencing verification, coding sequences in the pCR8/GW/TOPO entry clone were recombined by LR reaction into the Gateway-compatible ALLIGATOR2 vector (Bensmihen et al., 2004). The ALLIGATOR2 vector drives expression of the recombinant gene under control of the 35S cauliflower mosaic virus promoter and introduces a triple HA epitope at the N terminus of the encoded protein. Generation and selection of SnRK2.2-overexpressing transgenic lines was done as described previously (Dupeux et al., 2011). Homozygous T3 progeny was used for further studies, and expression of HA-tagged protein was verified by western blot analysis using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-HA (Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany).

**Immunoprecipitation of 3HA–SnRK2.2 and in vitro phosphorylation of MBP–CtAHA2 by 3HA–SnRK2.2**

HA-tagged SnRK2.2 protein was obtained by immunoprecipitation from 35S:HA–SnRK2.2 transgenic lines. Ten surface-sterilized 5-d-old seedlings grown on MS plates supplemented with 1% sucrose...
were transferred to 100 ml flasks containing 2.5 ml of MS medium plus 1% sucrose in a controlled-environment growth chamber. After 15 d, the seedlings were treated with 100 μM ABA for 30 min and plant material was collected and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Plant material (0.5 g) was extracted in 2 vol of 1× PBS supplemented with 100 mM EDTA, 0.05% Triton X-100, 1/50 (v/v) plant-specific protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma), 5 mM dithioreitol, 10 mM NaF, 1 mM PMSF, and 10 μM ABA. After centrifugation (16 000g, 4°C, 15 min), the supernatant was recovered, and 1 mg of total protein was incubated overnight at 4°C with 25 μl of anti-HA affinity matrix (Roche Applied Science). The matrix was washed three times with extraction buffer and two more times with kinase buffer (10 mM Tris/Cl, pH 7.8, 10 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM dithioreitol, and 2 mM MnCl2). Finally, the matrix was resuspended in a total volume of 50 μl kinase buffer, of which 4 μl was used for each reaction.

For in vitro kinase assays, immunoprecipitated SnRK2.2 was incubated with 0.5 μg of 6×His–ΔCABF2, MBP, MBP–ABA2 (Gonzalez-Guzmán et al., 2002), wild-type MBP–CtAHA2, or mutated MBP–CtAHA2 in 30 μl of kinase buffer for 1 h at room temperature in the presence of 3.5 μCi of [γ-32P]ATP. The reaction products were resolved in an 8% SDS-PAGE gel, transferred to an Immobilon-P membrane (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany), and detected using a phosphorimager system (FLA5100; Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

Quantification of mRNAs by reverse transcription real-time PCR

RNA free of DNA was prepared by homogenization of tissue frozen with liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle, extraction with guanidine thiocyanate, and purification by binding to a silica gel porous plate, treatment with DNase, and elution using a NucleoSpin RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). Reverse transcription was done with a Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit for RT-qPCR (Thermo Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) and for quantitative real-time PCR we utilized a 5× PyroTag EvaGreen qPCR Mix Plus (ROX) kit (Cultek Molecular Bioline, Madrid, Spain). The primers for detection of ABI5 (ABI5-F and ABI5-R) and COR78/29A (COR78-F and COR78-R) as well as the primers for the reference genes for detection of ABI5 (ABI5-F and ABI5-R) and COR78/29A (ROX) kit (Cultek Molecular Bioline, Madrid, Spain). A 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA).

**Results**

Germination and early seedling growth of Arabidopsis mutants with improved pH homeostasis are less sensitive to ABA

Several mutants with improved pH homeostasis because of increased capability for proton extrusion (as determined in roots) have been identified in *A. thaliana*. These include ost2-1D (a constitutively hyperactive plasma membrane AHA1 H+-ATPase; Merlot et al., 2007), wat1-1D (loss of function of AP-3 β-adaptin affecting localization of transporters; Niñoles et al., 2013) and the overexpression of the K+ uptake channel AKT1 (OE AKT1; Niñoles et al., 2013). In wat1-1D and OE AKT1, an increased rate of K+ influx allows a higher rate of H+ efflux mediated by the PM H+-ATPase through electrical balance. These mutants are tolerant of inhibition of germination and early seedling growth by weak organic acids such as acetic acid, which induces cytosolic acidification in root epidermal cells of the wild type but not in the wat1-1D mutant (Niñoles et al., 2013). As indicated in Fig. 1, all these mutants were less sensitive than the wild type to inhibition by ABA during germination and early seedling growth (appearance of green cotyledons). These results suggested that one mechanism of inhibition of growth by ABA at this stage could be cytosolic acidification. The ABA insensitivity of the wat1-1D mutant was much less apparent during plantlet growth (Supplementary Fig. S1 at JXB online).

ABA inhibits root PM H+-ATPase and H+ efflux

In order to obtain evidence for the postulated cytosolic acidification induced by ABA in germinating seeds, biochemical determinations of PM H+-ATPase and H+ and K+ fluxes and electrophysiological measurements of cytosolic pH and K+ need to be made. These experiments, however, are difficult in germinating seeds and therefore we used roots as a surrogate, a strategy utilized in previous studies (Gonzalez-Guzmán et al., 2002; Niñoles et al., 2013). In the electrophysiological experiments, small roots (about 0.5 cm) of plantlets were used, a material not very different from radiculas.

Treatment of Arabidopsis wild-type plants in hydroponic culture with 10 μM ABA, a concentration producing maximal inhibitory effects in growth studies (Gonzalez-Guzmán et al., 2012), resulted in 50% inhibition of root PM H+-ATPase activity as determined by diethylstilbestrol-sensitive ATP hydrolysis in purified plasma membranes (Fig. 2). This inhibition was not observed in ABA-insensitive mutants such as OE of protein phosphatase gene HAB1 (Saez et al., 2004), the double mutant in protein kinases snrk2.2 snrk2.3 (Fuji et al., 2007) and the sextuple *i2458 ppy/ppl* in ABA receptors (Gonzalez-Guzmán et al., 2012), suggesting that it is mediated by the known ABA signal transduction pathway. SnRK2.2 and SnRK2.3 are the two redundant ABA-activated protein kinases expressed in tissues different from guard cells, which express OST1/SnRK2.6 (Fuji et al., 2007). Interestingly, the *i2458 ppy/ppl* mutant exhibited about 30% higher PM H+-ATPase activity in the absence of ABA than the wild type. After ABA treatment, its PM H+-ATPase activity was 2.5-fold higher than that of the wild type (Fig. 2).
This inhibition of the PM H\(^+\)-ATPase by ABA correlated with inhibition of H\(^+\) extrusion from roots measured in solid medium with the pH-sensitive dye bromocresol purple (Fig. 3A) and in liquid medium with a sensitive pH meter (Fig. 3B). In the ABA-insensitive 112458 pyr/pyl mutant, the inhibition by ABA was much less apparent in both solid and liquid media, and the H\(^+\) extrusion activity in the absence of ABA was greater than in the wild type. This correlated with the increased PM H\(^+\)-ATPase activity described above, although the effect was more apparent in H\(^+\) extrusion (Fig. 3B). This assay, however, suffered from the lack of true initial rates because technical problems preclude taking measurements at times shorter than 15 s. This problem may explain why a modest 30\% increase of PM H\(^+\)-ATPase activity of the 112458 pyr/pyl mutant in control conditions (Fig. 2) translated into an approximately 100\% increase in H\(^+\) extrusion (Fig. 3B).

**SnRK2.2 phosphorylates the regulatory domain of AHA2 H\(^+\)-ATPase**

The PM H\(^+\)-ATPase is a highly regulated enzyme, with blue light (Kinoshita and Shimazaki, 1999; Hayashi et al., 2011), auxin (Hager, 2003; Takahashi et al., 2012), intracellular acidification (Bobik et al., 2010), sucrose metabolism (Niittylä et al., 2007), and acetylated 1,3-diaminopropane (Jammes et al., 2014) triggering activation of the enzyme, while extracellular alkalinization (Fuglsang et al., 2007) and ABA in guard cells (Merlot et al., 2007; Hayashi et al., 2011; Yin et al., 2013) and hypocotyls (Hayashi et al., 2014) inhibit the enzyme. Activation results from phosphorylation of the penultimate threonine (which in *Arabidopsis* is Thr948 in the AHA1 isoform and Thr947 in the AHA2 isoform) by an unknown kinase and binding of 14-3-3 proteins (Olsson et al., 1998; Fuglsang et al., 1999; Kinoshita and Shimazaki, 1999). In guard cells and hypocotyls, phosphorylation of the penultimate threonine is decreased by ABA by an unknown mechanism (Hayashi et al., 2011; Yin et al., 2013; Hayashi et al., 2014). A recently proposed mechanism for auxin activation is the induction of SAUR proteins that inhibit clade D PP2C phosphatases to keep the penultimate threonine of H\(^+\)-ATPase phosphorylated (Spartz et al., 2014).

In our experimental system of *Arabidopsis* roots, western blot analysis indicated that neither the level of root PM H\(^+\)-ATPase protein nor phosphorylation of the known activating site of the AHA2 enzyme (Thr947) were decreased by ABA (Supplementary Fig. S2 at *JXB* online). In fact, quantification of the band areas indicated that ABA treatment induced a small increase of ATPase protein and of the Thr947 phosphorylated form.
An alternative mechanism of regulation could be ABA-induced phosphorylation of inhibitory sites within the regulatory C-terminal domain of the enzyme, such as Ser931 (Fuglsang et al., 2007) and Ser899 (Haruta et al., 2014). As indicated in Fig. 4A (lane 3), the ABA-activated protein kinase SnRK2.2 phosphorylated the C-terminal regulatory domain of AHA2 in vitro. This could not result from non-specific phosphorylation because this kinase could not phosphorylate either MBP (Fig. 4A, lane 2) or the enzyme ABA2 (Supplementary Fig. S3, lane 3 at JXB online) but it did phosphorylate itself (autophosphorylation) and its physiological substrate ABF2 (Supplementary Fig. S3, lane 1). Another piece of evidence against non-specific phosphorylation in our assay is provided in Fig. 4B: the guard-cell protein kinase OST1/SnRK2.6 could phosphorylate ABF2 (substrate of all ABA-activated kinases) but not the C-terminal regulatory domain of AHA2.

We mutagenized to non-phosphorylatable amino acids several candidate phosphorylation sites (Ser904, Thr924, and Ser931) according to the known specificity of SnRK protein kinases (RXXS/T), as well as another potential site, Ser899 (Joshi-Saha et al., 2011; Rudashevskaya et al., 2012). These single mutations as well and the double mutation on T924A and S931F were without effect on the in vitro phosphorylation of the C-terminal domain of AHA2 ATPase by SnRK2.2 (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. S3).

**Fig. 4.** SnRK2.2 specifically phosphorylates the C-terminal regulatory domain (Ct) of AHA2 PM H^+-ATPase in vitro. (A) 3HA–SnRK2.2, MBP–CtAHA2, and MBP were purified and added to the kinase assay as indicated. Autophosphorylation of SnRK2.2 and phosphorylation of CtAHA2 was observed by autoradiography. MBP was not phosphorylated. The mutated versions of the C-terminal fragment (S899P, S904L, or S931F) were phosphorylated as efficiently as the wild type. The dashed line in the Coomassie staining panel indicates the migration of the immunoprecipitated 3HA–SnRK2.2, which was estimated by overlapping with the anti-HA western blot. (B) His–OST1/SnRK2.6, ΔCABF2, MBP, and MBP–CtAHA2 were purified and added to the kinase assay as indicated. Autophosphorylation of His–OST1/SnRK2.6 and phosphorylation of ΔCABF2 was observed by autoradiography. Neither MBP nor MBP–CtAHA2 were phosphorylated. The experiments were repeated twice with similar results.
ABA induces cytosolic acidification in root epidermal cells

As the PM H^+-ATPase is the major proton extrusion pump of plant cells (Gaxiola et al., 2007; Dube and Boutry, 2009; Haruta and Sussman, 2012), its inhibition by ABA could result in acidification of cytosolic pH. In guard cells, however, metabolic effects of the hormone result in overall alkalinization (Blatt, 2000; Suhita et al., 2004). We measured the effect of ABA on the cytosolic pH of root epidermal cells impaled with pH-sensitive microelectrodes. As indicated in a typical time-course experiment of Fig. 5A, treatment with ABA in the wild type caused a lag of around 3 min, a small (0.06 pH units) and transient (7 min) cytosolic acidification followed by a permanent and more pronounced (0.3 pH units) acidification. ABA did not induce cytosolic acidification in either the wat1-1D or 112458 pyr/pyl mutant (Fig. 5A). In the first case, this is because the wat1-1D mutant has increased capability for proton extrusion to counteract intracellular acidification (Niñoles et al., 2013) and only the metabolic alkalinization effect of the hormone is observed. The sextuple mutant in PYR/PYL ABA receptors is very insensitive to the hormone, both in guard cells and in other tissues (Gonzalez-Guzmán et al., 2012). This mutant exhibited a cytosolic pH that was more alkaline than that of the wild type in the absence of exogenous ABA, and the addition of the hormone had no effect. The statistical data of the effects of ABA on cytosolic pH of the different genotypes are presented in Fig. 5B.

ABA hyperpolarizes root epidermal cells by activating K^+ efflux through the GORK channel

Electrical membrane potential was measured simultaneously with cytosolic pH, and we observed that ABA hyperpolarized root epidermal cells despite inhibiting the electrogenic pump (PM H^+-ATPase). This effect was not observed in the 112458 pyr/pyl mutant insensitive to ABA. In the absence of exogenous ABA, this mutant was hyperpolarized with respect to the wild type, and this can be explained by the higher H^+-ATPase activity exhibited by the 112458 pyr/pyl mutant (see above). This hyperpolarization explains the increased sensitivity to inhibition of germination and seedling establishment by the toxic cations norspermidine and hygromycin B in the 112458 pyr/pyl mutant (Supplementary Fig. S4 at JXB online). The uptake, and therefore the toxicity, of these polycations increased when membrane potential (negative inside) increases (Bissoli et al., 2012). Therefore,

Fig. 5. Effect of ABA on cytosolic pH (pHc) of root epidermal cells measured with microelectrodes in wild type Col-0, the wat1-1D mutant, and the sextuple knockout in ABA receptors mutant 112458 pyr/pyl (pyr/pyl). (A) Time course of a typical experiment with wild type (continuous line), wat1-1D (short dashes), and pyr/pyl (long dashes). (B) Statistical data from four to six independent experiments as in (A). The average cytosolic pH±standard error is given. * indicates significant difference (P<0.05 by Student’s t-test) compared with wild type without ABA.

Fig 6. Effect of ABA on membrane electrical potential (Em) and cytosolic [K^+] in root epidermal cells measured with microelectrodes. (A) Results of a typical experiment for Em determination with the wild type (Col-0 or WS0 gave identical results; solid line), the sextuple knockout in ABA receptors mutant 112458 pyr/pyl (pyr/pyl; dashed line), and the gork1-1 mutant (punctuated). (B) Results of a typical experiment for [K^+] determination with the same genotypes. (C) Average of seven (Em) or three ([K^+]) experiments±standard error. * indicates significant differences (P<0.01 by Student’s t-test) compared with the wild type.
results in germinating seeds were in agreement with determinations made in roots.

Membrane electrical potential is determined not only by electrogenic pumps but also by diffusion of ions through the membrane, especially K⁺, Na⁺, and Cl⁻, as predicted by the Goldman equation (Nobel, 1991). A plausible hypothesis for the hyperpolarization induced by ABA, despite inhibiting the H⁺ pump, is that the hormone activates a K⁺ efflux channel and that the K⁺ diffusion potential was greater than the membrane potential before addition of ABA. As indicated in Fig. 6A and C, ABA did not hyperpolarize root epidermal cells in the gork1-1 mutant defective in the major K⁺ efflux channel (Ache et al., 2000; Hosy et al., 2003). The cytosolic K⁺ concentration (measured by microelectrodes) decreased by 30% after ABA treatment in wild-type Arabidopsis but by less than 5% in the gork1-1 mutant and by only 15% in the 112458 pyr/pyl mutant (Fig. 6B and C). A final confirmation of K⁺ efflux through the GORK channel was that the decrease in cytosolic K⁺ induced by ABA correlated with an increase in external K⁺ detected with extracellular microelectrodes. This K⁺ efflux was greatly reduced in the gork1-1 and 112458 pyr/pyl mutants (Supplementary Fig. S5 at JXB online).

Therefore, our data suggested that ABA activates GORK and that the efflux of K⁺ causes hyperpolarization. The internal and external K⁺ concentrations were 98 and 0.1 mM, respectively, and therefore the K⁺ diffusion potential was about –180 mV, much higher than the –125 mV measured in the absence of ABA. We investigated whether ABA inhibited K⁺ uptake and whether it caused K⁺ depletion of roots. As indicated in Supplementary Fig. S6 at JXB online, ABA inhibited Rb⁺ uptake (as a tracer for K⁺ uptake; Bissoli et al., 2012) by only 20% and there was no significant depletion of total root K⁺ in our time of observation (up to 30 min, see legend of Supplementary Fig. S7 at JXB online). The observed 30% decrease of cytosolic K⁺ of root epidermal cells (Fig. 6B, C) probably does not translate into significant total K⁺ depletion because of the small (<10%) contribution of the cytosolic compartment compared with the vacuole.

ABA activates Cl⁻ efflux from root epidermal cells by activating the SLAH3 channel

In stomata guard cells, ABA induces depolarization by both inhibiting the H⁺-ATPase (Merlot et al., 2007) and activating the SLAC1 and SLAH3 anion channels (Vahisalu et al. 2008; Geiger et al. 2009, 2011). SLAH3 is an SLAC1 homologue that is highly expressed in roots (Zheng et al., 2014), and we have tested the effect of ABA on the roots of the slah3-1 mutant (Gutermuth et al., 2013). This mutant was hyperpolarized compared with the wild type (–148 versus –125 mV) and ABA still increased the potential by about –15 mV as it did in the wild type (Supplementary Fig. S7). This suggested that the presence of SLAH3 decreased the potential in the wild type and that this anion channel had no effect on the hyperpolarization induced by ABA, which as described above was due to activation of GORK.

In order to investigate the effect of ABA on anion transport in root epidermal cells, we measured cytosolic (Fig. 7A, C) and extracellular (Fig. 7B) chloride with Cl⁻ sensitive microelectrodes in different genotypes. In wild-type Arabidopsis, ABA induced a fast decrease of cytosolic Cl⁻ during the first 5 min followed by a slow decrease that lasted for 30 min (Fig. 7A). The first phase correlated with an increase in external Cl⁻ but during the second slow phase there was no increase in external Cl⁻ (Fig. 8B), suggesting vacuolar compartmentation of the anion. The first phase of Cl⁻ efflux was not observed in either the 112458 pyr/pyl or slah3-1 mutant, although they exhibited the second slow phase (Fig. 7A, B). Therefore most of the efflux of Cl⁻ induced by ABA was mediated by the PYR/PYL receptors by activating the SLAH3 channel, with the probable vacuolar compartmentation of the slow phase being independent of both systems. In the wild type, the total decrease of cytosolic Cl⁻ induced by ABA after 30 min was about 50%, while in 112458 pyr/pyl and slah3-1 it was only about 25% (Fig. 7C).
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Fig. 8. Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of the induction of ABI5 by ABA in germinating seeds of the wild type (Col-0) and the mutants wat1-1D and OE AKT1. Seeds were placed in MS plates, stratified for 5 d at 4 °C, and germinated for 32 h (16 h light/8 h dark/8 h light) at 23 °C. The seeds were then incubated for 30 min in liquid MS medium without (white bars) and with (black bars) 50 µM ABA. After RNA extraction and first-strand DNA synthesis with reverse transcriptase, the level of ABI5 cDNA relative to the reference gene PP2AA3 was determined by real-time PCR as described in Materials and methods. Values are relative to the basal level of the wild type, taken as 1, and are the average of three determinations with error bars corresponding to standard errors. * indicates a significant difference compared with the wild type (P<0.01 by Student’s t-test).

Fig. 9. A working model depicting the intracellular acidification induced by ABA in epidermal root cells of Arabidopsis and the role of PYR/PYL ABA receptors in pH, K+, and Cl− homeostasis. See text for explanation. (This figure is available in colour at JXB online.)

Transcriptional response to ABA in mutants with improved pH homeostasis

Once we had characterized the effects of ABA on ion homeostasis in roots, we investigated whether the improved pH homeostasis of the wat1-1D and OE AKT1 mutants affected the transcriptional responses to ABA. In germinating seeds, where ABA induces expression of and activates transcription factor ABI5 (Lopez-Molina et al., 2002; Piskurewicz et al., 2008), this induction was greatly reduced (almost 3-fold) in these mutants, and the basal expression level of ABI5 was also much lower than in the wild type (Fig. 8). On the other hand, in plantlets of 14 d, the induction by ABA of a typical induced gene such as COR78/RD29A in the above mutants was not different from the wild type (Supplementary Fig. S8 at JXB online). This is in agreement with the observation that in adult plants the inhibition of growth by ABA is not different from the wild type (Supplementary Fig. S1) and by weak organic acids (Niñoles et al., 2013); (ii) ABA induced cytosolic acidification in root epidermal cells of wild-type Arabidopsis but not in the wat1-1D mutant (Fig. 6), and OE AKT1 and wat1-1D mutants were tolerant to ABA at the germination and early seedling growth stage (Fig. 1); and (iii) the hyperpolarization of the pyr/pyl ABA-insensitive mutant determined with microelectrodes in epidermal root cells (Fig. 7) was also observed indirectly in germinating seeds by the increased sensitivity to toxic cations (Supplementary Fig. S5).

A working model for the effects of ABA on Arabidopsis roots, and by extrapolation in germinating seeds, is depicted in Fig. 9. The increase of ABA levels leads to cellular uptake by either the ABCG40 (Kang et al., 2010) or AIT1/NRT1.2 (Kanno et al., 2012) systems. This results in inhibition of clade A PP2Cs via PYR/PYL ABA receptors. As a result, SnRK2.2 (and SnRK2.3) is activated and phosphorylates the C-terminal domain of AHA2 H+-ATPase at an as yet unidentified residue(s). This results in inhibition of the enzyme and leads to cytosolic acidification, which causes growth inhibition. We have calculated that the decrease in proton motive force by ABA is less than 10 mV (total values about 180 mV, less than 6 % change) and therefore this thermodynamic factor is probably less important for growth inhibition than the kinetic effects of the cytosolic acidification of 0.4 pH units (a 2.5-fold increase in H+ concentration; Fig. 5). ABA also promotes K+ efflux via the GORK channel and Cl− efflux via SLAH3. Nitrate efflux probably also occurs via SLAH3 (Roelfsema et al., 2012) and this may have nutritional consequences. The efflux of K+, Cl−, and other anions will result in loss of turgor, and this may also contribute to growth inhibition. At basal ABA levels, clade A PP2Cs are active and its validity is supported by several lines of evidence: (i) mutants with improved pH and K+ homeostasis as determined in roots, such as wat1-1D and OE AKT1, avoid intracellular acidification induced by weak organic acids (such as acetic acid) in root epidermal cells, and their germination and early seedling growth is less inhibited by these acids (Niñoles et al., 2013); (ii) ABA induced cytosolic acidification in root epidermal cells of wild-type Arabidopsis but not in the wat1-1D mutant (Fig. 6), and OE AKT1 and wat1-1D mutants were tolerant to ABA at the germination and early seedling growth stage (Fig. 1); and (iii) the hyperpolarization of the pyr/pyl ABA-insensitive mutant determined with microelectrodes in epidermal root cells (Fig. 7) was also observed indirectly in germinating seeds by the increased sensitivity to toxic cations (Supplementary Fig. S5).

Discussion

In the present work, we have presented evidence for a non-transcriptional mechanism of inhibition of germination and early seedling growth by ABA based on inhibition of PM H+-ATPase and a decrease in cytosolic pH, K+, and Cl− as determined in Arabidopsis roots. The extrapolation from roots to germinating seeds was imposed by technical reasons and its

Fig. 8. Germinating seeds was imposed by technical reasons and its

Fig. 8. Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of the induction of ABI5 by ABA in germinating seeds of the wild type (Col-0) and the mutants wat1-1D and OE AKT1. Seeds were placed in MS plates, stratified for 5 d at 4 °C, and germinated for 32 h (16 h light/8 h dark/8 h light) at 23 °C. The seeds were then incubated for 30 min in liquid MS medium without (white bars) and with (black bars) 50 µM ABA. After RNA extraction and first-strand DNA synthesis with reverse transcriptase, the level of ABI5 cDNA relative to the reference gene PP2AA3 was determined by real-time PCR as described in Materials and methods. Values are relative to the basal level of the wild type, taken as 1, and are the average of three determinations with error bars corresponding to standard errors. * indicates a significant difference compared with the wild type (P<0.01 by Student’s t-test).

Fig. 9. A working model depicting the intracellular acidification induced by ABA in epidermal root cells of Arabidopsis and the role of PYR/PYL ABA receptors in pH, K+, and Cl− homeostasis. See text for explanation. (This figure is available in colour at JXB online.)

Transcriptional response to ABA in mutants with improved pH homeostasis

Once we had characterized the effects of ABA on ion homeostasis in roots, we investigated whether the improved pH homeostasis of the wat1-1D and OE AKT1 mutants affected the transcriptional responses to ABA. In germinating seeds, where ABA induces expression of and activates transcription factor ABI5 (Lopez-Molina et al., 2002; Piskurewicz et al., 2008), this induction was greatly reduced (almost 3-fold) in these mutants, and the basal expression level of ABI5 was also much lower than in the wild type (Fig. 8). On the other hand, in plantlets of 14 d, the induction by ABA of a typical induced gene such as COR78/RD29A in the above mutants was not different from the wild type (Supplementary Fig. S8 at JXB online). This is in agreement with the observation that in adult plants the inhibition of growth by ABA (Supplementary Fig. S1) and by weak organic acids (Niñoles et al., 2013) is hardly affected by the wat1-1D mutation.

Discussion

In the present work, we have presented evidence for a non-transcriptional mechanism of inhibition of germination and early seedling growth by ABA based on inhibition of PM H+-ATPase and a decrease in cytosolic pH, K+, and Cl− as determined in Arabidopsis roots. The extrapolation from roots to germinating seeds was imposed by technical reasons and its
inhibit SnRK2.2 (and SnRK2.3) activity, which prevents inhibitory phosphorylation of the C-terminal domain of AHA2 H⁺-ATPase. In the 112458 pyr/pyl mutant, PP2Cs are hyperactive at endogenous ABA levels and promote enhanced H⁺-ATPase activity compared with wild type, which results in cytosolic alkalinization and hyperpolarization. Therefore, PYR/PYLs regulate pH homeostasis both under non-stress and stress conditions. The altered pH and electric potential found in roots of the 112458 pyr/pyl mutant might explain the root growth impairment observed previously in strongly ABA-insensitive mutants (Gonzalez-Guzmán et al., 2012).

This ion homeostasis mechanism of ABA action in germinating seeds may be complementary to the transcriptional mechanism based on induction and activation of transcription factor ABI5, which in turn induces expression of genes encoding inhibitors of the cell cycle and metabolism as discussed in the Introduction. In fact, we made the interesting observation that induction by ABA of ABI5 was greatly reduced in germinating seeds of the wat1-1D and OE AKTI mutants (Fig. 8). Therefore, the cytosolic acidification induced by ABA seems important to obtain the full transcriptional response to the hormone at this stage of growth. As ABI5 is important for the inhibition of growth by ABA in germinating seeds, a transcriptional mechanism modulated by cytosolic acidification is probably operative. This would act in parallel to direct growth inhibitory effects of decreased by cytosolic acidification is probably operative. This would act in parallel to direct growth inhibitory effects of decreased PM H⁺-ATPase, cytosolic pH, K⁺ and anions. These results support the role of cytosolic pH as signal and messenger in plant cells (Felle, 2001). Although pH affects most proteins, only some may act as pH sensors by crucial conformational changes such as the yeast Hal3-Ppz1 protein phosphatase (Yenush et al., 2005).

The mutants with improved pH homeostasis utilized in the present work exhibited similar inhibition of growth by ABA in adult plants as the wild type (Supplementary Fig. S1). Also, transcriptional responses to ABA were normal in adult roots (Supplementary Fig. S8). As the changes in pH, K⁺, and Cl⁻ homeostasis induced by ABA were measured in mature roots, we have to postulate that seed germination and early seedling growth are particularly sensitive to decreases in cytosolic pH, K⁺, and anions. As discussed above, a plausible mechanism to explain this singularity is the pH-dependent induction by ABA of ABI5 in germinating seeds. The existence of a pH-dependent mechanism operating upstream of the ABI5 transcriptional response is currently under investigation.

The inhibition of root PM H⁺-ATPase by ABA identified in the present work requires the known ABA receptors and downstream protein kinases and phosphatases, but the detailed mechanism is unknown. At variance with regulation of the enzyme by ABA in guard cells (Yin et al., 2013) and hypocotyls (Hayashi et al., 2014), phosphorylation of the known activating site (Thr947) of AHA2 H⁺-ATPase was not affected by ABA in our root experimental system (Supplementary Fig. S2). We could demonstrate in vitro specific phosphorylation of the C-terminal domain of AHA2 H⁺-ATPase by SnRK2.2 but not by SnRK2.6/OST1, the kinase specific for guard cells (Fig. 4B). We could not identify the phosphorylation site by either phosphoproteomics (Navajas et al., 2011) or mutagenesis of several candidate residues such as Ser899, Ser904, Ser931, and Thr924 (Joshi-Saha et al., 2011; Rudashevskaya et al., 2012). There are twp examples of inhibitory sites in AHA2 H⁺-ATPase: Ser899 is an inhibitory site upon phosphorylation triggered by flagellin (Nühse et al., 2007) and by a RALF peptide hormone (Haruta et al., 2014), and Ser931 is an inhibitory site upon phosphorylation by calcium-activated heteromeric protein kinase PKS5/CIPK11-SCaBP1/CBL2 triggered at high external pH (Fuglsang et al., 2007). Accordingly, a plausible mechanism for the effect of ABA on root PM H⁺-ATPase is that the enzyme is phosphorylated by SnRK2.2 (and probably SnRK2.3) at a novel inhibitory site within the C-terminal domain. There are six more putative phosphorylation sites in the C-terminal domain of H⁺-ATPase that have not yet been mutagenized (Fuglsang et al., 2007).

The effects of ABA in Arabidopsis roots described in the present work resemble the situation in guard cells (Kim et al., 2010; Hedrich, 2012) but there are important differences. In both systems, there is inhibition of H⁺-ATPase and activation of GORK and SLAH3, but the major differences are in the mechanism of activation of the H⁺-ATPase (as described above) and in the direction of changes in cytosolic pH and membrane potential. In guard cells, ABA induces cytosolic alkalinization, instead of the acidification observed in roots, and this alkalinization is an important signal for stomata closure (Blatt, 2000; Suhita et al., 2004). This occurs because in guard cells ABA activates the gluconeogenic conversion of malate into starch, a metabolic reaction that involves decarboxylation (R-COO⁻+H⁺→R-H+CO₂) and therefore alkalinization (Davies, 1986). This also serves to reduce intracellular osmotic concentrations together with the efflux of K⁺ and anions (MacRobbie, 1998). Apparently, this metabolic alkalinization is less important in roots, although it can be observed as a small transient pH increase upon ABA addition, followed by permanent acidification (Fig. 6A). Concerning membrane potential, ABA depolarizes in guard cells but hyperpolarizes in root epidermal cells (Fig. 7). Although in both cases the inhibition of the H⁺-ATPase favours depolarization, this is reinforced by anion efflux in guard cells, while in roots it is counteracted by K⁺ efflux through the GORK channel. Apparently, in Arabidopsis root epidermal cells, anion channels are less important than in guard cells, where GORK activity is higher.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data is available at JXB online.

Supplementary Fig. S1. Plantlet growth is slightly less sensitive to ABA in the wat1-1D mutant than in wild type (Col-0).

Supplementary Fig. S2. ABA decreases neither the level of plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase nor the phosphorylation of Thr947.

Supplementary Fig. S3. The C-terminal domain of AHA2 with the double mutation Thr924Ala Ser931Ala is phosphorylated by SnRK2.2 as well as wild type.
Supplementary Fig. S4. Mutants with reduced sensitivity to ABA are hypersensitive to toxic cations.

Supplementary Fig. S5. Direct measurement with external microelectrodes of ABA-induced K⁺ efflux from root epidermal cells of Arabidopsis wild type (Col 0), J12458 pyrpyl mutant (sextuple), and gork1-1 mutant.

Supplementary Fig. S6. Inhibition by ABA of rubidium uptake, as a tracer of K⁺ transport, in Arabidopsis (Col-0) roots.

Supplementary Fig. S7. Effect of ABA on the membrane potential of root epidermal cells from the wild type (Col 0) and slah3-1 mutant (slah).

Supplementary Fig. S8. Induction of COR78/RD29A by ABA in roots is the same in wild type (Col-0) and wat1-1D and OE AKTI mutants.

Supplementary Table S1. Primers utilized in the present work.
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